

# Appendix 4

## Planning Decision Notice and Reasons for Refusal





## Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997

---

To : **Thorntonhall Car Centre  
c/o DTA Chartered  
Architects  
9 Montgomery Street  
The Village  
East Kilbride  
G74 4JS**

Per : **DTA Chartered Architects  
9 Montgomery Street  
The Village  
East Kilbride  
G74 4JS**

With reference to your application dated 11 August 2010 for Planning Permission under the above mentioned Act :

**Description of Proposed Development :**

Partial change of use to car wash (Retrospective application)

**Site Location :**

200 East Kilbride Road  
East Kilbride  
Glasgow  
G76 8RS

---

South Lanarkshire Council in exercise of their powers under the above mentioned Act hereby:

**REFUSE PLANNING PERMISSSION**

for the above development in accordance with the accompanying plan(s) and particulars given in the application, subject to the condition(s) listed overleaf in the paper apart. The condition(s) are imposed by the Council for the reasons detailed.

---

Date: 19/10/10

---

**Michael McGlynn, Head of Planning and Building Standards Services**

---

This permission does not grant any consent for the development that may be required under other Legislation, e.g. Planning Permission, Building Warrant or Roads Construction Consent.

**South Lanarkshire Council  
Enterprise Resources  
Planning and Building Standards Services**

**South Lanarkshire Council  
Refuse Planning Permission**

Application Number: [EK/10/0295](#)

Reason(s) for Refusal:

- 1 This decision relates to drawing numbers:  
  
L(0-) 00  
L(0-) 01  
L(0-) 02
- 2 That the proposal would impede the free flow of traffic and thereby generate adverse traffic congestion to the detriment of traffic and public safety.
- 3 The proposed off-street parking associated with the application is insufficient to accommodate the requirements of the proposal, thereby resulting in the queing of vehicles onto East Kilbride Road.
- 4 If approved, the proposal would set an undesirable precedent which could encourage further similar applications for proposals which would exacerbate the problems stated above.