

Report

Report to: Finance and Corporate Resources Committee

Date of Meeting: 20 January 2021

Report by: Executive Director (Finance and Corporate Resources)

Subject: Update of the Finance and Corporate Resources Risk

Register and Risk Control Plan

1. Purpose of Report

1.1. The purpose of the report is to:-

 present an update on the Risk Register and Risk Control actions for Finance and Corporate Resources

2. Recommendation(s)

- 2.1. The Committee is asked to approve the following recommendation(s):-
 - (1) that the contents of the Resource Risk Register be noted; and
 - that it be noted that the outstanding Risk Control Actions will be progressed by relevant officers.

3. Background

- 3.1. The Council's Risk Management Strategy, which was reviewed in April 2020, promotes consideration of risk in service delivery, planning and decision making processes. The strategy requires Resources to record and review risks and control actions regularly. Finance and Corporate Resources follow the guidance in developing, monitoring and updating the risk register on an ongoing basis.
- 3.2. The purpose of the register is to ensure that the Resource is fully aware of its top risks; that these risks are prioritised; and that controls are in place to eliminate or minimise the impact of the risks.
- 3.3. The Resource scores the risks in accordance with the Council scoring mechanism, based on likelihood and impact. This results in risks being scored between 1 and 25 (low very high).
- 3.4. Risks are scored on their inherent score (risk if we do nothing) and their residual risk (risk after applying controls).
- 3.5. The last update of the Finance and Corporate Resources Risk Register was reported to Committee on11 December 2019.
- 3.6. The Resource's top risk register and risk control plan has been reviewed. This work was completed in November 2020.

3.7. The Central Risk Management team annually review Resource compliance with the Risk Management Strategy. The outcome of the 201920/20 review identified that Finance and Corporate Resources achieved 100 per cent compliance, scoring 42 out of a possible 42.

4. Resource Risk Management

- 4.1. Each Resource has a Resource Risk Management Group which has responsibility for the promotion and management of risk.
- 4.2. The Finance and Corporate Resources Risk Management Group continues to meet on a quarterly basis.
- 4.3. The work of the group over the last year has focused on the review and update of the Resource Risk Register, and ensuring that the register reflected the Council Plan and individual Service Plans.

5. Risk Register

- 5.1. Work has been completed by the Resource Risk Group to finalise the review of the Resource Risk Register. The update gave consideration to risks at a Service level, as well as strategic Council risks.
- 5.2. The Resource Risk Register will be monitored on an ongoing basis to allow new risks to be added and for the control measures and scores of the existing risks to be reviewed in light of new information.
- 5.3. Risks can result from internal or external influences, with examples being the impact of projected funding cuts, legislative changes or the impact of internal service changes.
- 5.4. The development process for the Resource Plan requires a risk assessment process to be undertaken as appropriate resulting in some actions within the Resource Plan having a corresponding risk identified within the risk register.
- 5.5. The main changes to the register are summarised below:-
 - ◆ A new very high level risk has been added to the risk register in respect of 'The Covid-19 pandemic'
 - ♦ A new high level risk in respect of potential liabilities arising from claims for historic abuse noted following details of the Scottish Government's financial redress scheme being published
 - ♦ A new high level risk added in respect of the IT led project to upgrade telephone network from analogue to digital. This affects the community alarm systems.
 - ♦ All risks have been rescored in line with the revised 5x5 risk scoring matrix. The scoring matrix was changed from a 3x3 matrix, following a review of the Council's Risk Management Strategy at the start of the year. The revised 5x5 scoring matrix and definitions for likelihood and impact is shown at Appendix 1.
- 5.6. Risks scored 15 to 25 are considered to be very high risks and risks scored 8 to 12 are considered to be high risks. Very high and high risks are monitored closely. The top risks identified for the Resource, that is those that are residually scored as being very high and high, are attached at Appendix 2.

- 5.7. Risks evaluated residually as being medium or low risk will be monitored to ensure that they continue to be adequately managed.
- 5.8. The Committee is asked to note the contents of the Resource Risk Register.

6. Insurance Hotspots

- 6.1. As part of the work that is currently being undertaken in connection with the ongoing Insurance Fund Review, the Council's insurers were approached and asked to carry out an analysis of our claims experience for the last 5 years for Public Liability, Employers' Liability, motor and property claims, with a view to identifying areas where a number of similar types of claim or high value claims were originating, through claims leakage reports.
- 6.2. The first stage of the analysis has been completed, and insurers have now been asked to provide their opinion on mitigating measures that can be implemented by the Council to prevent future reoccurrence of the identified insurance hotspot areas. The outcome of this hotspots review will be reported as part of the Insurance Fund Review recommendations.

7. Scope and appetite for risk

- 7.1. The Council aims to be risk embracing, that is it will accept a tolerable level of risk in seeking service efficiencies and in agreeing control measures.
- 7.2. The level of risk facing the Council is measured both before (inherent risk) and after (residual risk) consideration of controls. The Council should never carry a very high residual risk exposure as this would indicate instability but a low residual risk exposure should also be avoided as this indicates lack of innovation.
- 7.3. The Council's universal risk tolerance levels were recently updated as part of the review of the risk management strategy this year, with the ideal risk profile defined as:-
 - ♦ No more than 10 per cent of residual risks at a very high level
 - ♦ No more than 15 per cent of risks at a high level
 - ♦ Around 50 to 60 per cent of residual risks at a medium level
 - ♦ No more than 30 per cent of residual risks at a low level
- 7.4. Table 1 below shows the top risks heat map, i.e. it details the total number of risks for each individual residual risk score. Table 2 below notes the overall risk profile for the top risks.

Table 1 - Top Risks Heat Map

	5					
	Almost Certain			2		
	4			2		4
	Likely			3		ı
	3		9	7	2	
ō	Possible		9	1	2	
Likelihood	2	2	12	7	4	
ΙË	Unlikely	2	12	1	4	
<u>\$</u>	1	А	8	9	2	
_	Rare	4	0	9	Z	
		1	2	3	4	5
		Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
		_		Impact	·	

Table 2 - Top Risks Risk Profile

Risk Category	Risk Rating	Number of residual risks	Percentage of residual risks
1	Very high	3	4.2%
2	High	16	22.2%
3	Medium	30	41.7%
4	Low	23	31.9%
		72	100.0%

- 7.5. Despite the fact that the profile noted in Table 2 is out with the ideal universal risk exposure defined by the Risk Management Strategy, this risk exposure is reasonable as these are the highest level risks currently being faced by the Resource, and a number of the top risks scores have increased significantly this year as a result of the effects of Covid-19.
- 7.6. Finance and Corporate Resources has ensured that all inherent risks scored at a very high and high level have cost effective control measures in place. Where further control measures are required, these are included within the Resource risk control plan.
- 7.7. A direct comparison of risk scores for this year compared to last year is not possible, given the change in the scoring matrix, along with the addition of a new very high risk rating.

8. Risk Control Actions

- 8.1. There were no risk control actions due for delivery between April and October 2020
- 8.2. 16 actions due for completion during 2019/2020 have been completed. One hundred per cent (16/16) of these risk control actions were completed on time against an overall Resource target of 90 per cent.
- 8.3. Details of the completion of risk control actions during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 to date are contained in Table 3 below.

Table 3 – Completion of top risk control actions

	2019/20	2020/21 (as at 31 October 2020)
Total number of actions due	16	0
Completed on time	16	0
Completed late	0	0
Due to be completed at a later date	0	13

- 8.4. Progress with completion of Resource Risk Control Actions is monitored on a monthly basis by the Central Risk Management team. This is also reviewed by the Resource Risk Group.
- 8.5. There are currently 13 risk control actions due for completion during the remainder of 2020/2021, with a further 5 actions due to be completed in future years. Details of these actions are noted at Appendix 3. The Committee is asked to note that the outstanding actions to mitigate risks within the Risk Control Plan will be progressed by the relevant officers.

9. Major projects, partnerships or change

- 9.1. Within Finance and Corporate Resources 4 partnerships have been identified. 1 of these is considered to be high risk, the Community Planning Partnership (CPP). The remaining 3 partnerships have been assessed as being low risk.
- 9.2. Appropriate risk management arrangements are in place for the CPP with regular reports on their risk register and action plan presented to the CPP Board.

10. Next steps

10.1. The Resource Risk Management Group will continue to meet on a quarterly basis. The risk register will be reviewed on an ongoing basis by the group to ensure that risks remain valid for the appropriate Service areas and to identify new areas of risk that affect the Resource. An update report will be provided to Committee on an annual basis.

11. Employee Implications

11.1. Time will be required by the Resource Risk Management Group in the management of the Resource Risk Register and Risk Control Plan.

12. Financial Implications

12.1. There are no direct financial implications associated with the Resource's top risks. There are a number of proposed risks which are classified under the heading of financial, including additional costs stemming from Covid-19. Where this is the case, the appropriate controls and actions have been included in the risk control cards and progress will be monitored.

13. Climate Change, Sustainability and Environmental Implications

13.1. There are no implications for climate change, sustainability or the environment in terms of the information contained in this report.

14. Other Implications

14.1. Failure to demonstrate that risk is actively considered and managed cannot only lead to avoidable financial loss but could also affect delivery of services and could affect the Resources' reputation.

15. Equality Impact Assessment and Consultation Arrangements

- 15.1. This report does not introduce a new policy, function or strategy or recommend a change to existing policy, function or strategy and therefore, no impact assessment is required.
- 15.2. Consultation on the content of this report has been undertaken with the Resource Management Team.

Paul Manning Executive Director (Finance and Corporate Resources)

15 December 2020

Link(s) to Council Values/Ambitions/Objectives

♦ Accountable, effective and efficient

Previous References

 ◆ Report to Finance and Corporate Resources Committee – 11 December 2019 – Update of Finance and Corporate Resources Risk Register and Risk Control Plan

List of Background Papers

♦ None

Contact for Further Information

If you would like to inspect the background papers or want further information, please contact:-

Craig Fergusson, Head of Finance (Transactions)

Ext: 4951 (Tel: 01698 454951)

E-mail: craig.fergusson@southlanarkshire.gov.uk

Appendix 1 – 2020 Risk scoring matrix and likelihood and impact definitions

Likelihood

Score	1	2	3	4	5
Description	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain
Likelihood of occurrence	1 in 10 years	1 in 3 years	1 in 2 years	Annually	Monthly
Probability of occurrence	The event may occur in certain circumstances	The event could occur	The event may occur	The event will probably occur	The event is expected to occur or occurs regularly

Impact

	Reputation	Financial	Service delivery/ Time to recover	Compliance	Safety
1 Negligible	Public concern restricted to local complaints	<£50,000 per annum	No impact to service quality; limited disruption to operations.	No external interest	Minor injury – no lost time
2 Minor	Minor adverse local/public/me dia attention and complaints	£50,000- £250,000 per annum	Minor impact to service quality; minor service standards are not met; short term	Very minor attention from legislative /regulatory body	Minor injury – resulting in lost time
3 Moderate	Adverse national media Public attention	£250,000 to £500,000 per annum	Significant fall in service quality; major partnership relationships strained; serious disruption in service standards	Short-term attention from legislative/ regulatory body	Major injury or ill health resulting in lost time
4 Major	Serious negative national or regional criticism	£500,000 to £1million per annum	Major impact to service delivery; multiple service standards are not met; long term disruption to operations; multiple partnerships affected	Medium-term attention from legislative/ regulatory body	Fatality; Or injuries to several people
5 Catastrophic	Prolonged international, regional and national condemnation	>£1million per annum	Catastrophic fail in service quality and key service standards are not met; long term catastrophic interruption to operations; several major partnerships are affected	National impact with rapid intervention of legislative/ regulatory body	Multiple fatalities; Or injuries to large number of people

The assessments for impact and likelihood combine to provide an overall inherent risk score on the scale of between 1 and 25, using the Council's recognised risk matrix.

Risk matrix

IVION						
	5 Almost Certain	5	10	15	20	25
poo	4 Likely	4	8	12	16	20
Likelihood	3 Possible	3	6	9	12	15
=	2 Unlikely	2	4	6	8	10
	1 Rare	1	2	3	4	5
		1 Negligible	2 Minor	3 Moderate	4 Major	5 Catastrophic
	Impact					

The risk score is calculated as follows:

Likelihood score x Impact score = Risk Score

Finance and Corporate Resources Risk Register (as at 31 October 2020) Extract of risks with residual score category of Very High and High

Risk	Key risk	Inherent	Sample of Controls	Residual
Category		Risk Score		Risk Score
1 Very High (15-25)	The Council does not provide an adequate response to the pandemic; maintaining critical services; delivering emergency response commitments as a Category One Responder; and protecting wellbeing of employees and service users as far as reasonably practicable. Responding to the pandemic will impact on everything else the Council does. Council Top Risk	25	 Working with Cosla on national financial impact to inform discussions with Government on funding. Specific cost centres established to monitor spending Changes made to Registration processes – registrations available online/over the phone/weekend working Community Wellbeing Helpline established 	20
	Reduction in Council funding/income, as well as increased service demands, results in difficulties achieving savings and maintaining front line services Council Top Risk	25	 An embedded and systematic approach to savings identification Robust processes in place to report annual budget and Council Tax proposals to Elected Members Council's long term financial strategy includes scenario planning 	20
	The Council is significantly affected by the impact of the UK leaving the European Union Council Top Risk	25	 The HM Treasury has provided assurances around the current EU funded programme for all projects with a signed agreement in place prior to UK leaving the EU Council Resources have familiarised themselves with the content of the no-deal technical papers produced by the UK Government and reviewed their contingency plans to ensure that they are 	20

			sufficiently robust to deal with any significant negative impact that may arise from the UK leaving the EU. The Council's Economic Development Service provides support to businesses within the South Lanarkshire area.	
2 High (8-12)	Potential liability arising from claims of historic abuse Council Top Risk	16	 Responses prepared to the Scottish Government Redress Scheme call for views Regular actuarial reviews undertaken for pre-fund liabilities Review of predecessor authorities insurance cover undertaken 	12
	Fraud, theft, organised crime and cyber attacks Council Top Risk	20	 Anti-Fraud and Corruption Strategy Whistle-blowing procedures Cyber security and information security training The Council has in place a technical IT infrastructure that provides information security protocols in line with industry best practice. This is subject to regular independent review to ensure its continued effectiveness. 	12
	Information Governance not subject to adequate control Council Top Risk	20	Awareness raisingInformation Governance Group in placeInformation Governance Strategy	12
	Failure to respond - major event/emergency Council Top Risk	16	Media response policyIT business continuity plansEmergency Management Team	12
	Insurance fund insufficient to meet known liabilities	20	 Regular actuarial reviews Management of claims trends Review of operation of Council's insurance fund. 	12

Procurement practice and management of	25	Procurement Strategy and action plan	9
contracts		Contracts register in place	
Council Top Risk		Procurement Annual Report published	
IT Development and functionality does not	20	IT Asset Management Plan	9
meet service requirements		ICT Development Fund	
Council Top Risk		IT Programme Board	
Lack of capacity and skills to meet	20	Workforce Strategy	9
increased service demands		Performance Appraisal Process	
Council Top Risk		Learning and Development Strategy	
Inaccurate information relating to Council	12	Timeous response to media enquiries	9
services reported via media		Guidelines on media enquiries contained	
		within Corporate Standards	
		Contact sheets provided to the media	
Audit plan not delivered	12	Managed systematic approach to work	9
		allocation and monitoring arrangements	
		Capacity planning	
Difficulties in placing insurance cover	16	Support from appointed insurance broker	9
		Sound experience in placing covers	
		Regular reviews to ensure optimal balance	
		between retention of risk and purchased	
		covers	
Failure to deliver the Modern Apprenticeship	12	Compliance process in place	9
programme		Commitment from senior management	
		Appropriately trained and qualified staff	
		available to delivery relevant internal	
		programmes	
Death or injury to employees, service users	20	Occupational Health and Safety Management	8
or members of the public affected by		System embedded throughout the Council	
Council operations			

Council Top Risk		 Risk assessments and safe systems of work developed and implemented Resource H&S Groups in place 	
Failure to achieve the outcomes of the Community Plan Council Top Risk	20	 Partnership Progress Group established Community Engagement Manager and team in place Neighbourhood plans developed 	8
Community Alarms - Failure to successfully transition from the current analogue service to a digital solution within the predetermined timescale	20	 Project plan in place Project Review Board established Sessions held to scope full organisation requirements 	8
Failure to manage financial aspects of City Deal Council Top Risk	25	 Financial aspects reviewed for each business case at all stages of the project Assurance frameworks Specific project risk registers in place 	8

Appendix 3 – Finance and Corporate Resources 2020/2021 Risk Control Plan

Action:	Who:	Target date:
Implementation of APEX Procurement Database	Finance Adviser (Procurement)	December 2020
Brexit - Investigate new opportunities for funding.	Head of Finance (Strategy)	December 2020
Review and audit of H&S training completed for specific machinery in high risk areas	Health, Safety and Wellbeing	December 2020
within Services/Resources	Manager	
Review of H&S Training Matrices	Health, Safety and Wellbeing	December 2020
	Manager	
Finalise A2D Project Plan	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	December 2020
Timanoo 7 LB T Tojoot Tian	Services	D000111001 2020
Establish work specific A2D steering groups	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	December 2020
	Services	
Formal audits of Resources management of procurement processes and procedures,	Audit and Compliance	March 2021
identifying corrective actions to mitigate the risks identified	Manager	
Progress agile working across the council through full deployment of Windows 10	Head of IT Services	March 2021
laptops / Office 365 / Soft Phones.		
Implement actions per ICT Asset Management Plan	Head of IT Services	March 2021
Develop Digital and IT Strategies for 2021 - 2024	Head of IT Services	March 2021
Implement Service Improvements identified from IT Service Review	Head of IT Services	March 2021
Complete outstanding PIAs and publish summary details on the internet	Legal Services	March 2021
	Manager/Assistant Registrar	
A2D - Conduct pilot and review findings	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	March 2021
7125 Conduct pilot dira review inidirige	Services	Water 2021
A2D - Approve service provision model	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	June 2021
	Services	
Implementation of EDRMS Phase 2	Head of IT Services	June 2021
A2D - Approve Alarm Receiving Centre model	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	June 2021
	Services	
Complete exit from IT Caird Data Centre and Migration to Hybrid Cloud solution.	Head of IT Services	December 2021
A2D - Digital solution procured and implemented	Programme Co-Ordinator, IT	March 2024
7.25 Digital colotton product and implomonto	Services	11101011 202 1