

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments for Planning Application P/21/0029
Date: 27 January 2021 17:45:18

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 5:45 PM on 27 Jan 2021 from Mr mark evans.

Application Summary

Address: Land 120M Northeast Of 55 Bothwell Road Bothwell Road
Hamilton South Lanarkshire

Proposal: Erection of two dwellinghouses with associated studio flats
above attached garage, raised decking at rear and
formation of access.

Case Officer: Jim Blake

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Mr mark evans

Email:

Address: 49 Hamilton Park North Hamilton

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: There's an old saying "Even if you put some lipstick on a pig. It's still a pig".

What we have here is yet another one of Mr Chowdry and Co's development plans in a different colour of lipstick. Another coordinated attempt to destroy a natural wildlife boundary and historic wild-garden. This area as set out in the many previous applications by Mr Chowdry and Co and subsequent rejections (including appeal), stands as shelter and protection for a mix of wildlife including badgers and deer. The location drawings submitted for both houses, appear to sit way beyond the property front-boundary line of Hamilton Park South and would require any development to be placed further into the centre of the site causing more disturbance and destruction to trees and wildlife. More importantly the area identified for development in the drawings and in particular the entire area adjacent to the racecourse, is officially identified and listed with coordinates as an active badger set on the UKs National Badger Protection Database.

I have in fact witnessed badger activity when walking the racecourse and there may be some evidence of cub activity. It would be scandalous and an abandonment of care, if these protected animals or any wildlife for that matter is actively displaced for non-essential building.

I would hope that you (our planning department) are wise to the game Mr Chowdry is playing. These repeated applications in there various presentations demonstrate contempt for previous planning decisions, including the points detailed in his most recent appeal rejection.

His proposed development would ruin a unique local amenity and if approved would be a shameful act of eco-vandalism, sanctioned by the very people entrusted to protect our environment from unscrupulous developers, chasing a buck (or killing it).

I like many others will contest any proposal to develop housing, car parks or development yet unknown on this land.