From: Nicola Smith

Sent: 20 August 2019 11:46

To: Planning LRB

Subject: Representation of application P/19/0158

To whom it may concern,

I would like to add some additional information to my representation regarding application P/19/0158.

I am aware that the applicant (Mr D Collins) has appealed the decision to refuse planning permission for the above application.

The initial application was refused because of 6 very important reasons:

Reason 1 (Policy 3): Greenbelt and Rural Area. This proposal is not appropriate for the area and cannot be justified. It compromises the Greenbelt and Rural policy for our area.

Reason 2 (Greenbelt): If Mr Collins was granted permission to erect townhouses on this site, this would then "open the flood-gates" for many other developers (who own similar areas of land in the area), to "jump on the wagon" and start developing all across our countryside and outskirts. This would result in a vast destruction and devastation of our greenbelt and pathways for nature.

Reason 3 (Policy 16): The protection of former railways for walking, cycling and corridor for nature. This has been, and will always been an old former railway line. These areas are protected and safeguarded. Similar to Reason 2, if permission was granted, we would slowly loose these safely-guarded areas to developers.

Reason 4 (Policy GBRA4): Small Scale settlement Extensions of supplementary to Guidance 2 (Greenbelt and Rural Area) as it does not comply with the criteria listed.

Reason 5 (Policy 4): No appropriate justification to the development of new residential homes in the Green Belt and Rural Area.

Reason 6 (Policy 17):Travel and Transport. Former railway lines and protected.

Planning was refused due to the reasoning above, set out by SLC Planning service, and I trust policies haven't changed since May 1st 2019.

I want to be clear that NOTHING HAS CHANGED since the initial decision to refuse planning was made on May 1st 2019.

I believe that the 6 polices and reasoning above will be enough to ensure that planning will never be granted on this site and hope this will be the end of the matter.

This area will always be Green Belt and Rural.

It will always be a safeguarded Railway line for walking, cycling and a corridor for nature.

I hope these policies have substance and that SLC Planning Department/PLRB show integrity and responsibility to back their policies when they really matter.

Can you please send receipt of my email to ensure it has been received and considered.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Nicola Strachan