2

# PLANNING LOCAL REVIEW BODY (PLRB)

Minutes of meeting held in Committee Room 5, Council Offices, Almada Street, Hamilton on 30 April 2018

#### Chair:

Councillor Alistair Fulton

#### **Councillors Present:**

Walter Brogan, Isobel Dorman, Fiona Dryburgh, Mark Horsham, Ann Le Blond, Richard Nelson, Graham Scott, Jim Wardhaugh

# Councillor's Apology:

**David Shearer** 

#### Attending:

# **Community and Enterprise Resources**

G McCracken, Planning Adviser to the Planning Local Review Body

### **Finance and Corporate Resources**

P MacRae, Administration Officer; K Moore, Legal Adviser to the Planning Local Review Body

#### 1 Declaration of Interests

No interests were declared.

## 2 Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting of the Planning Local Review Body held on 30 April 2018 were submitted for approval as a correct record.

**The PLRB decided:** that the minutes be approved as a correct record.

# 3 Review of Case - Application CL/17/0445 - Alterations to Shopfront Including Removal of Existing Timber Shopfront and Replacement of Aluminium and Timber Clad Frontage, Tiled Stallriser and Replacement of Fascia Board at 94 to 96 High Street, Lanark

A report dated 10 April 2018 by the Executive Director (Finance and Corporate Resources) was submitted on a request for a review of the decision taken by officers, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation, to refuse planning permission for planning application CL/17/0445 by Thomas Auld and Sons Limited for alterations to shopfront, including removal of existing timber shopfront and replacement of aluminium and timber clad frontage, tiled stallriser and replacement of fascia board at 94 to 96 High Street, Lanark.

To assist the PLRB in its review, copies of the following information had been appended to the report:-

- planning application form
- report of handling by the planning officer under the Scheme of Delegation
- site photographs and location plan
- ♦ decision notice

- notice of review, including the applicant's statement of reasons for requiring the review
- a further submission from an interested party following notification of the request for the review of the case

The relevant drawings in relation to the review were available for inspection prior to and at the meeting of the PLRB.

Having considered the above and having heard from the Planning and Legal Advisers respectively, the PLRB considered that it did not have sufficient information to allow it to determine the review. The PLRB considered that, prior to determining the review, it wished to have further written submissions from the applicant and the Area Manager, Planning and Building Standards Services, respectively.

The PLRB requested that the applicant, in their submission:-

- advise whether the proposal could be amended to make it more compatible with the Conservation Area setting
- submit amended drawing(s) to show those amendment(s)
- explain, in the event that it was not possible to amend the proposal, the reasons why this
  was the case

The PLRB requested that the Area Manager, Planning and Building Standards Services, provide further written information in respect of Production 1 (Type of Shopfronts in Lanark High Street), contained in Appendix 6 to the report, as follows:-

- information as to why some shops in Lanark High Street appeared to have been allowed an aluminium frontage while others had not
- whether any of the aluminium shopfronts cited in Production 1 did not have the benefit of planning consent
- whether any of the premises cited in Production 1 had been the subject of an application for an aluminium frontage which had been refused

#### The PLRB decided:

that the review of the decision taken by officers, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation, to refuse planning permission for planning application CL/17/0445 by Thomas Auld and Sons Limited for alterations to shopfront, including removal of existing timber shopfront and replacement of aluminium and timber clad frontage, tiled stallriser and replacement of fascia board at 94 to 96 High Street, Lanark be continued for further written submissions on the matters specified above.

#### 4 Urgent Business

There were no items of urgent business.